

Arapahoe Public School
Board of Education – Special Meeting
School Library
May 30th, 2017 7:00 pm

President Dennis Roskop called the meeting to order at 7:02 pm.

President Dennis Roskop announced that the Arapahoe Board of Education follows the rules of the Open Meetings Act which is posted.

The following members were present: Dan Warner, Chad Carpenter, Rod Whipple, Dennis Roskop, Brad Schutz and Lisa Anderson.

The following member(s) were absent: None.

Also present was Dr. George Griffith, Superintendent and Cassie Hilker, Board Secretary. Visitors were present.

A motion was made by Warner and seconded by Carpenter to approve the agenda as presented. AYES: Carpenter, Roskop, Schutz, Anderson and Warner. Motion carried 5-0.

President Roskop welcomed the visitors and asked for Public Comment. Peter Leising stated that they had submitted a bid on the earthwork package this last week. It came to our attention when the bids were posted in the newspaper that our bid number was way off. There was \$50,000 added to our bid. This was not discussed with Hausmann at all and was done without our knowledge. We still stand by our bid of approximately \$135,000. We felt like this was done unfairly and would like the chance to revisit this. Jesse with Hausmann was attending the meeting via cell phone and he asked Peter to repeat his statement. Peter stated that Dr. Griffith, Jesse and himself spoke on the phone a couple of days ago about this situation. And we still feel that our bid that was submitted originally, before it was modified is what we will stand by and would like it to be considered. Jesse stated that he didn't modify any proposals, he put together a recommendation on the bid tab that had an allowance and it was stated as such. He didn't doctor any proposals or bids; he just made a recommendation on the bid tab. He then asked Peter if he was willing to execute the scope of work as drafted for the original bid of \$95,500. Peter stated that the bid was \$135,000 according to our last email. Jesse stated that the original proposal was for \$95,500. Peter stated that Jesse had them add for fencing and granular materials. Jesse agreed, but that was because they didn't have complete scope. A bid was not put together based on the bid package scope of work. Part of our exercise when multiple bids are received is to scope them out and when there are disparities to see where the disparities are at. Peter stated that they had corrected that and they had included the borrowed material which is what Hausmann put the allowance of \$50,000 in for. Jesse understood. The bid day proposal was for \$95,500 for the scope of work and that's what the benchmark against other bidders is for. If they are willing to honor that proposal for that scope of work then it is a no brainer to recommend that the board move forward with that. Peter stated that they want to stand by the bid of \$134,800 which included the fencing and granular material. That is where their offer stands. Jesse stated that ultimately it is not Hausmann's decision. We are hired as the Construction Manager, a trusted advisor for the school district who does not do this day in and day out. It is part of our job to vet this out. Peter understood. Jesse stated that without giving the other bidder's another chance to bid it or come down, it's not fair. The benchmark is the bid day proposal, which was \$95,500. Peter stated that Hausmann still felt the need to add the \$50,000 after the fact. Jesse stated that was put on the bid tab, not their proposal. It was added to get an apples to apples comparison since the complete scope was not bid according to the bid package. Peter stated that they presented the total bid price at around \$180,000. Jesse stated that the cost would be \$180,000 and that would be his comfort level. If the board wants to move forward with Leising's and go back and accept the \$134,000, he would not sign a GMP without the \$50,000 allowance. The contract would still be \$134,000, but he would not feel comfortable based on the brief conversations that occurred. He understands that Leising's say they have the scope, but we don't know each other and he would not sign a GMP without having that allowance included. Peter asked if they could stand by the \$95,000 with the allowance of \$50,000. Jesse agreed. Peter agreed to stand by that and asked if that was acceptable. He also brought along a list of completed projects and references for Jesse to review since he is not comfortable with what they are presenting. They are coming off of a project very similar to this in Gothenburg at the hospital. Jesse stated that he is trying to protect the board and the constituents of the district to get the best value moving forward. He is happy to review the references and asked him to leave them with Josh Albright who was at the meeting. Whipple joined the meeting at 7:12 pm. Peter stated that he hopes the board will give them some serious consideration and that this project would fit into their schedule extremely well. He appreciated the board's time. Craig Hilker asked the board if it was normal for Hausmann to add to bids and if additions had been made to other bids that have already been approved. Dr. Griffith stated that some numbers were added on other bids for specific items that weren't included in the scope. Hilker stated that it sounded like the bids were different \$30,000 to \$40,000 because Hausmann padded it \$40,000 more dollars. He asked the board if it looked that way to them as well. Josh asked Jesse if it is typical to add costs to bids when scoping out the projects. Jesse stated that it is typical to go through and line item out scope to make sure everyone is apples to apples and if there is something that is not included, that is when he makes phone calls to make sure everyone is bidding apples to apples. Hilker understood. Peter added that the few times he spoke with Jesse on the phone was for clarifications on the construction fence, granular

material and erosion control products. He never did clarify with or ask about the import material of 8,300 yards. He did ask about back fill for the high school building. That is why he was really shocked to see that added onto the bid and if it was something he was really concerned about, why wasn't it brought up before it was presented to the board. Jesse stated that was valid. He is going through twenty-two bid tabs and trying to get through as many as possible, but that is no excuse and it should have been fully vetted with Leising's. He also clarified that he didn't add anything to Leising's proposal. The proposal was for \$95,500 and there was come-back pricing and a \$50,000 allowance for comfort level. If Leising's are saying they have everything and they can do the job for \$95,500, then it is a no brainer move forward. Peter stated that what Hausmann put on the form that was submitted was the \$135,000 plus the \$50,000, not the \$95,000 plus the \$50,000. Jesse agreed and stated that if the district wants to open up a can of worms and chop the bids up and go back to Hausmann and see who wants another bite of the apple and how low everyone can go, they can do that but that since everyone has seen the bidding tabs it will just end up a bidding war. That is not the way Hausmann does business. Hilker stated that Hausmann made it a bidding war by adding to the bid and hoped the board was listening. Schutz asked for more clarification on the come-back bid. Peter stated that Jesse called him to verify some of the items included in the bid. He had not originally included a construction fence for security around the whole site or the 800 cubic yards of granular material for the paving contractor. Those were the two items that he had to add to the bid. Leising's also submitted a bid for the structural demolition and in that bid all of the concrete removal for the site was included. He then moved the concrete removal over to the earthwork price. Schutz stated through those discussions and adding those items it increased the bid around \$40,000. Peter agreed and shared an email between him and Jesse. The email was passed around to the whole board to read. Philip Leising asked Jesse if the logs on the earth and load-bearing and the depth of the re-compaction been set. Jesse responded that no further developments have been made beyond the geo-tech report. Dr. Griffith asked Jesse if both bids were submitted according to the time requirements. Jesse confirmed that both bids were submitted on time. Peter stated that he would leave so the board can have further discussion. Roskop stated that the board will discuss it with their Construction Manager to make sure everyone is on the same page. He also added that they are not required to leave and are more than welcome to stay if they would like. Peter and Philip thanked the board for their time and left at 7:21 pm. Whipple apologized to the board for being late to the meeting. Dr. Griffith stated that the other item that was to be discussed tonight was the GMP (Guaranteed Maximum Price) and an update was provided. However, the detail was not provided because that has to be signed off by their executive's before it can be submitted to the board for approval. The other reason is because those that are left to bid will know how much we are expecting to pay and even if they would have bid less they could bid up to the amount on the budget sheet and still be the lowest bid. Dr. Griffith asked Jesse to confirm. Jesse stated that he sent over the front sheet only due to not knowing who was all going to be in the room tonight and to continue getting competitive bids. He will send the detail over to Dr. Griffith. Whipple stated that at last week's meeting it was said that there would be eleven more bids at this meeting to be approved. Dr. Griffith stated that those have not yet been scoped out and one is \$200,000 over budget, they would like to re-bid it and there is interest from a local contractor which will give us the opportunity to have more than one bidder. Hilker asked what bid that was on. Dr. Griffith stated that the bid was for the tile and flooring. Roskop asked Jesse why the board was here tonight and why he was not here. He went on to say that this is unacceptable. We have plenty of things going on right now for us to have a special board meeting and for him not to be here and the board waiting to do projects that he said would be ready on Tuesday. Jesse apologized and stated that he is trying to get through the bids as quickly as possible. Roskop asked for any other public comment. Hilker stated that he does not know why Jesse does not think that Leising's are reputable, but they will do as good a job as down the street will do. He should have been asking around town to find out about them. \$30,000 or \$40,000 is \$30,000 or \$40,000 and when money is added to a bid, he has a huge problem with that. Jesse stated that is not the reality. The recommendation of a Construction Manager is not always on low numbers per our agreement. We go with the best recommendation for the district and if the \$95,000 that was being suggested was taken, the same amount of money would be spent at the end of the day. Once it was realized that they didn't have complete scope there would be change orders coming out of a bucket of money. Hilker doesn't fully understand, but their bid was their bid. He knows them very well and they weren't out to do anything bad. Roskop asked for any other public comment – None. Josh Albright of Hausmann left and the phone call ended with Jesse of Hausmann.

ACTION ITEMS

A motion was made by Whipple and seconded by Schutz to approve the Consent Agenda as presented, which included minutes from previous meeting. AYES: Whipple, Roskop, Schutz, Anderson, Warner and Carpenter. Motion carried 6-0.

Dr. Griffith recommended tabling the GMP. He also stated that when he found out that they were only going to have one bid and the GMP was not ready, he was going to cancel the meeting. But, with the questions with the Leising bid that needs to be determined rather quickly, he felt that the meeting should still be held for that purpose at least.

Dr. Griffith presented the electrical bid which is \$31,477 over budget. Roskop stated that he did not want to approve anything other than the earthwork without Jesse being here. Whipple apologized for missing some very important information and asked the board to fill him in. When he looks at the bids it shows Leising's are more than Adams. Carpenter stated that Jesse wants a \$50,000 insurance policy to make sure they don't go over. Dr. Griffith stated that Leising's original bid of \$95,000 did not include the entire scope. Jesse contacted Leising's to get clarification on a couple of items, but he did not get clarification on the back fill issue. Jesse then spoke with their estimators at Hausmann and

they felt that it would need \$50,000 to assure that everything would be done. However, based on the information the bid was in on time and they were given the chance to adjust the bid. Whipple wanted to back up to the bid that was approved. It stated \$173,841 for Adams and \$184,800 for Leising's. Leising's had some items that said not included, so we said yes to Adams. Carpenter stated that Leising's are saying everything is included and their bid is scope at the \$134,800. Schutz was trying to remember what Jesse said at the meeting about the \$50,000. He remembered Jesse saying something about the \$50,000, but he was under the assumption that Leising's added the \$50,000. Dr. Griffith understood the same. Schutz now understands that is not the case. Schutz's concern is that the bid has already been approved and doesn't know legally what can be done. Dr. Griffith stated that according to Jesse, the bid can be changed. He also shared that he had a conversation with Doug Snyder of Adams Construction and he said the board can make whatever decision they want, but they wanted it to be made known that Leising's were given a second chance to adjust their bid and they did not have that opportunity. Warner has no problem revisiting the earthwork bid, but if that is done everyone should have the opportunity to re-submit a bid. He also appreciates the clarification of Leising's bid and it is worth looking into because we are stewards of district taxpayer money. If there is a difference, we need to take the lowest bid. Schutz stated that time is of the essence. Warner stated that resubmitting bids may not be an option then. Carpenter stated that if they went with Leising's and they had to put the \$50,000 in the GMP contract and it wasn't used, it would still be \$134,000. Hausmann just added it because he didn't think they were at scope, but Peter just sat there and said everything is included for the \$134,000. Whipple inquired about the email between Jesse and Peter. Schutz commented that the date on the email was May 19th. Carpenter stated that the email showed some adjustments were made going from the \$95,000 to \$134,000 and this was to include items that were not included in the first bid. Anderson remembered that a bid is good for thirty days and wondered about what it meant on our end since we accepted it. Dr. Griffith stated that based on his conversation with Doug it was indicated that there would be no issue if the board changed it. Hilker asked for clarification on the comment that Doug made that Leising's got a chance to bid twice, but Adams did not. He went on to say that Hausmann probably clarified with Adams just like they did with Leising's, so they really didn't have two bids. Dr. Griffith stated that he is using their terminology and that he would make their opinion clear. Whipple stated that other than the email, the bid that Leising's gave did not include some things. Dr. Griffith stated that there was no clarification of scope in the initial bid. Roskop stated that the \$134,800 was included in the bid presentation, not the \$95,000 that was the initial bid. Hausmann then added \$50,000. The \$134,800 bid was their original bid with the change of scope once it was discussed and was presented to the board. They did not get a second chance to re-bid it; they just met the scope that was required at the \$134,800 not the \$95,500 that the original bid was on bid review day. Dr. Griffith stated that Hausmann contacts other vendors to verify what is included and what is not included. Whipple asked if that is a common practice across all of these contracts we have reviewed so far, that Hausmann is adding dollars based on if they don't meet the full scope. Dr. Griffith agreed. If something is presented that does not have scope and unless a contractor specifies that they don't do something, Hausmann will adjust the bid to account for what they think it would cost to complete the work. Warner stated that this way all of the bids are then evaluated as equals. It looks like \$50,000 was added so that they were equal in scope to Adams. Whipple again asked if this was common. Warner agrees that it is common. Schutz commented about the mechanical bid last week was similar. Roskop stated that one of the vendor's had over-scoped it, so they pulled it back so that it was apples to apples. Dr. Griffith commented that \$1,000 is shown on the electrical bid for cutting through asphalt. He would assume that was a figure that Hausmann provided as opposed to getting that clarified with the contractor. Hilker commented that it seems odd that Hausmann doesn't have to say when they add to a bid. He remembered Jesse saying that night that he felt more comfortable with Adams, but why didn't he say that he added \$50,000 to Leising's bid because he didn't feel they met the scope. He thinks the board should be informed about that. He feels that he is protecting the GMP by doing that.

A motion was made by Warner and seconded by Roskop to enter executive session at 7:45 pm for the protection of public interest. AYES: Roskop, Schutz, Anderson, Warner, Carpenter and Whipple. Motion carried 6-0.

A motion was made by Warner and seconded by Carpenter to exit executive session at 8:00 pm. AYES: Schutz, Anderson, Warner, Carpenter, Whipple and Roskop. Motion carried 6-0.

Roskop stated that the board has come up with three options. Option 1 is to accept that we had previously. Option 2 is to rescind the bid and award it to Leising's. Option 3 is to rescind the bid and have both companies provide a presentation at a special board meeting. Roskop believes they will rescind the bid to Adams Construction and have both companies present their bid packages at a special meeting. Whipple asked Dr. Griffith if this can be done legally. Dr. Griffith stated that they can legally rescind the bid. There are two bidders and based on those bids we need further clarification so we can make an informed decision as to why we will or will not accept a bid. A higher bid can be accepted if it is felt that better service is provided, otherwise we have to go with the lower bid. Dr. Griffith will check with the attorney first thing in the morning to verify that information. Dr. Griffith clarified that they will give the two bidders the chance to come in with whatever bid they choose, but it will have to show on each line item what is included and what is not included to make sure the scope is covered. Schutz stated that the reasoning for the board rescinding the bid is because of how Hausmann presented the bids to the board. Dr. Griffith clarified that it was due to not having adequate information to make a proper decision. The board agreed. Whipple asked how long this would back things up. Carpenter stated that it depends upon when the board meeting occurs. Roskop stated that notice must be posted at least 48 hours prior. Dr. Griffith asked about scheduling each bidder at a different time. Anderson wondered about calling Jesse back to clarify what Leising's provided on the bid day and go with what was provided then. Dr. Griffith stated that Peter said he could not

do the work for the price given in the initial proposal. Anderson stated that the proposal they gave on bid date was the \$95,000. Whipple stated that was not full scope. Carpenter stated that the bid of 134,800 was full scope, which is what they came back with. Whipple and Anderson agreed that the bid was updated due to the email between Peter and Jesse dated May 19th, which is after the date the bids were to be submitted. Dr. Griffith called Jesse to get further clarification. Dr. Griffith asked Jesse what was exactly received from Leising's on bid date. Jesse stated that he received an email from Peter on bid day. There were two line items on the email. One says earthwork and grading for \$95,500. The second line item says structural building demolition and concrete removal. That was all. The email was received at 1:59 pm. Whipple clarified that the bid was received one minute prior to the deadline. He also asked about the email received on May 19th. Dr. Griffith asked if the email clarifying the earthwork was received after the bid date. Jesse confirmed that there was another email. Peter had certain scope items included in the bid for structural building demolition and concrete removal that needed to be part of the \$95,500 scope. The summation of that gets to the total of \$134,800. Whipple stated that was done after bid date. Jesse confirmed. Dr. Griffith asked if it was after the bid approval. Jesse stated it was before the bid approval. Jesse asked if it was just the board in the room now. Dr. Griffith stated that the board was in the room along with a couple of community members. Schutz asked when the dirt work was scheduled to begin. Jesse stated that it was to begin next week. They get the file from the civil engineer and they build their grading model and enter it into their software that runs off of GPS if they have it. It usually takes a few days to get that going. The schedule critical item now is the electrical bid that was included in tonight's information. Whipple asked Jesse if his recommendation was to stand behind the original bid recommendation or if he was open to the discussion of rescinding the bid and having the two companies present their bids in front of the board. Jesse stated that what should have happened and what didn't happen was that the Leising bid should have been thrown out due to not being on the bid form and that is what will happen moving forward. If bidders can't follow the bid directions then we are not going to acknowledge their bids. If it is re-bid the same results will come out because everyone knows what the numbers need to be. A third number might get thrown in and could be lower. He would not recommend re-bidding because he doesn't feel they would get good results. Whipple asked if Adams' bid was on a bid form and Leising's was not. Jesse confirmed that Adams completed the bid form, which is required. But, not everyone does it this way, it is about 50/50. On schedule critical items, he will now require it to be on a bid form as required. He again apologized for not being at the meeting tonight and for the board being in the position they are in with two local contractors. Going forward, bid forms must be completed. Whipple stated that it sounds like Hausmann is standing behind what they originally presented and how they presented it and how they received the information, you would recommend that we accept what we already accepted and move forward. Jesse agreed. One had the bid on the bid form and the other did not and would have been disqualified right from the start. It is the district's money and if they feel there is value in going with the low bidder, he appreciates that but he would not make that recommendation. There are other factors that go into making a recommendation and it is not always the bid. The terms of our agreement with the district is not to just bring them low numbers, we also look at quality of work and other factors. Perry Law Firm also agrees with that. Schutz asked if the bid is rescinded and we start over, how much time is lost. Jesse stated that two weeks are usually allowed for the bidding process, but since everyone knows what is being asked for, essentially the district is asking for everyone's best offer. Schutz asked if the date of next Tuesday could be stated as when they expect bids and also include that they expect them to be available June 8th or 10th. Jesse agreed that this could be done. Dr. Griffith stated that basically we are asking the two contractors that already bid to give us their best and final bids. Anderson added that they have to be on bid forms. Jesse agreed. Jesse understands the need to keep peace in a small community and again apologized that he contributed to the situation. He will do his best to help get it rectified. Griffith stated that the idea is to make the bidding process fair for both individuals. Whipple asked Jesse if they disregarded any bidders from the bid process for not using the bid forms. Jesse responded that he did not and that is why contact is made to clarify scope. Whipple asked how the GMP at tonight's meeting compared to the prior GMP. Jesse stated that it is lower. Dr. Griffith stated that it is lower by approximately \$187,000. Schutz asked if Jesse could explain the electrical bid. Jesse stated that the electrical bid is budgeted at \$1,045,233 and is showing a cost of \$1,076,710. This was one of the bids that trended upward. A lot of the one's we saw last week were negative, which meant they were under budget. Anderson Brothers had scope, some of the others did not have entire scope. Snell included cutting the floor and asphalt. Anderson Brothers did not include cutting the asphalt, so \$1,000 was added to their bid as come-back pricing for that. Payment Performance Bond amounts shown on the bid tab were figures from those companies. Anderson Brothers bid is at \$1,076,710, Kidwell is at \$1,109,353 and Snell is at \$1,085,944. Jesse recommended going with Anderson Brothers. Schutz commented about the meeting that took place earlier in the day and asked if Anderson Brothers would need to be included in some of the site prep. Jesse confirmed that they would be and it is critical to get started. Schutz asked where Anderson Brothers is from. Jesse stated they were out of Kearney and also have an office in Holdrege. Dr. Griffith asked if anyone had any other questions for Jesse. No one had any other questions. Roskop stated that the conversation with Jesse confirmed they still have the three options previously discussed. Dr. Griffith stated that since we are using the two companies that originally presented bids, a special meeting could be done as soon as Monday and start work Tuesday. The board discussed options on when to have the special meeting. Whipple asked if the reason for the special meeting was because the construction manager messed up and didn't get the line item changes that Leising's were trying to get in. Carpenter stated that Adams' bid was clear and done on the bid form, Leising's was not. But, if going through the process could save \$40,000 a week delay isn't that much. Roskop stated that he has concerns with other bidders coming in later and saying they can do the job \$40,000 cheaper. But they were an original bidder and the situation is not the same, but there is a reason the bids were due by a certain day. However, the word may not have gotten out as well as it needed to so that everyone had time to prepare. In his

opinion, the board needs to move forward and he thinks the best option is to have both companies come in to present their bids.

A motion was made by Roskop and seconded by Carpenter to rescind the acceptance of the Adams Construction earthwork bid and have a special meeting for both companies to present their bids to the board. Schutz would like to amend the bid to include a start date of June 7th and for the bids to be completed according to Hausmann's bid specifications. A motion was made by Roskop and seconded by Carpenter to accept Schutz's amendment. AYES: Roskop, Schutz, Anderson, Warner and Carpenter. NAYES: Whipple. Motion carried 5-1. Roll was then called for the original motion as amended. AYES: Schutz, Anderson, Warner, Carpenter and Roskop. NAYES: Whipple. Motion carried 5-1.

Roskop stated the next item on the agenda is the electrical bid and it seems it is a high priority. Griffith stated that some of the electrical work would start next week. Schutz asked Dr. Griffith to share some of the information from a meeting held earlier today. Dr. Griffith stated that they discussed the fencing, using the back lot for construction trailers for some of the sub-contractors, only allowing transportation of materials prior to 7:00 am and after school is out to limit the traffic. They will be blocking off the bus drop-off so we will have to adjust parking. The front of the elementary school will then be used for bus drop-off and he is assuming the parent drop-off will have to move to the back lot by the cafeteria. Hausmann will be here setting up their trailer on Friday and the idea is to start fence work on Monday, along with utility work. Schutz added that they talked about getting power in, electrical, gas and water. Griffith stated that they talked about safety training and background checks. All those that want to visit the site will have to go through a short safety training. Anyone that will be onsite on a regular basis, like myself and the custodians, will have to complete the full safety training. Schutz stated that they said that anyone working on-site will also have to go through a background check. Griffith confirmed and stated that it is the same company the school uses for background checks, One Source, and it will be done on all workers including sub-contractors. Warner stated that even though he is a little shaken with the bidding process, he feels from a timing stand point that they need to move forward with the electrical bid. A motion was made by Warner and seconded by Whipple to follow the bid recommendation of Anderson Brothers in the amount of \$1,076,710. AYES: Anderson, Warner, Carpenter, Whipple, Roskop and Schutz. Motion carried 6-0.

Warner asked about the landscaping bid. Griffith stated that they gave it back to us with the plan that it will include. He also clarified with Josh of Hausmann that the bid is reasonable with the scope of work even though it is \$66,000 over budget. The bid can wait. Carpenter stated that Josh also said it could be made cheaper if everything was seeded versus sod. Griffith said sod would save our floors by keeping the mud out, especially around the building.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

Griffith stated that he heard back from the attorney on the review of Policy 5103. The changes he recommended are on page 6 in the blue writing. He added that everything is legal and is an improvement on what we had. Whipple asked when the law meeting is that Dr. Griffith was going to attend. Griffith stated that it is on June 5th and 6th. He also added that the policy won't be back on the agenda until the regular meeting. Schutz asked if when a student agrees to participate in counseling are they suspended until they complete counseling or is their suspension shortened because they agreed to participate in counseling. Griffith will get clarification from the attorney on that because it isn't clear. Schutz also noticed a typo on page 4 in the Drugs and Alcohol Violation Consequences section. Griffith will take care of that and thanked Schutz for his findings. Whipple stated that the policy doesn't say much about why we have this policy, something about health and safety. Griffith stated that he added that information. Whipple liked the counseling option. Griffith also stated that the line the attorney recommended was when an administrator has a reasonable basis for determining that grounds for a violation of policy exist. Whipple asked about the length of the policy. He would suggest that the board stay within the NSAA guidelines and not add to it. Schutz said the policy talks about when it is a school activity, on school grounds or in a school vehicle. Whipple would strike the second paragraph under the When heading on page 2 that talks about violations during the summer months. He is suggesting that if there was a violation in June, that's summer, we are not babysitting all summer long. Newman Grove doesn't cover the summers. Griffith stated that same change was made at Northern Valley. He also included the exemption of church as suggested by Whipple.

Public Comment – None.

Special Board Meeting, Friday, June 2nd, 2017 at 7:00 pm in the Arapahoe Public School Library to review and take action on the earthwork bids.

Regular Board Meeting, Monday, June 12th, 2017 at 7:00 pm in the Arapahoe Public School Library.

A motion was made by Whipple and seconded by Anderson to adjourn the meeting at 8:58 pm.

Respectfully submitted,
Cassie Hilker, Board Secretary